

# STEAM FAIR JUDGING CRITERIA - COMPETITIVE



PROJECT ID# \_\_\_\_\_ JUDGE INITIALS \_\_\_\_\_

PROJECT TITLE \_\_\_\_\_

Judging Criteria	Points	Points
Scientific Thought / Engineering Goal / Mathematics / Computer Science	10	
Creativity	10	
Thoroughness	10	
Skill	10	
Clarity	10	
<b>Maximum Total Points</b>	<b>50</b>	

Scientific Thought (10 points)	Engineering Goal (10 points)	Mathematics/Computer Science (10 points)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Was the problem scientifically significant and the hypothesis clearly stated?</li> <li>Did the student(s) look at different aspects of the problem, and chose a sufficiently limited project – was it well planned?</li> <li>Did the student use appropriate control of variables?</li> <li>Was the conclusion justified and properly drawn from experimental data?</li> <li>Was sufficient literature research performed and applied?</li> <li>Does the student understand what further research is warranted?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Was the purpose and engineering design criteria/specifications significant and clearly stated?</li> <li>Was the software or hardware prototype to be invented/ engineered relevant, workable and feasible?</li> <li>Could the solution be used in design or construction of some end product or program?</li> <li>Was there evidence of redesign and retest under conditions of use?</li> <li>Did the student consider inventions, products, software, and applications by others?</li> <li>Does the student understand next steps or possible future improvements?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Entire software development life cycle is clearly evident including plans that match the requirements and results from testing and computing.</li> <li>Testing is done and the above is noted, in addition, a “test plan” is a well written, key part of the process.</li> <li>Retesting, redesigning, debugging, optimizing are done until the design criteria has been reached and the design goal has been clearly fulfilled.</li> <li>Computer program readouts are clearly explained.</li> <li>Exemplary quality, requirements are stated, design is clear, development and testing is accurate and retesting is done to ensure accurate solution.</li> </ul>

**Creativity/Originality (10 points)**

- Is the project topic unique or the approach original?
- Has the student used a novel approach for checking the hypothesis or testing an engineering design or software? Projects from the internet or other sources are acceptable if clearly acknowledged but should be scored lower.
- Evidence of student's contributions: What level of assistance was received for the idea and execution?

**Thoroughness/Organized/Completed (10 points)**

- Are there appropriate replications or repeated testing?
- Are there adequate data, drawings, flowcharts, schematics presented to explain findings?
- Was the project notebook kept during the project? Has all the work been completed in the past 12 months?
- Is the interpretation or performance claims supported with data?
- Are procedures, materials and research thoroughly documented?
- Were photos of hardware prototypes or a software demo provided?
- Record of daily work is evident in notebook.
- Notebook supports evidence of work completed.

**Skill/Comprehension (10 points)**

- Does the student understand the subject?
- Has the student used good laboratory, technical or programming skills?
- Did the student build equipment, design experiments, or program software?
- How much mentoring or other help did the student receive to carry out experiments or testing?

**Clarity (10 points)**

- Are the abstract, board, and oral communication accurate and understandable?
- Are the data and test results communicated sufficiently so others can see a mathematical relationship or lack of a relationship.
- Are phases of the project presented in an orderly manner?

**Comments or Questions:**

---

---

---

---

---